

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/890,470	KIKUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner Peter A. Hruskoci	Art Unit 1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Knapp Reg. No. 45384.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 rejections as stated in the prior Office Action dated 2-26-03

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 4, 7-10, and 14-17 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of the test results shown in Tables 2 and 6 of the instant specification.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)